

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x  
FRANKIE MONEGRO, on behalf of himself and  
all others similarly situated,

**CASE NO. 1:21-cv-01494-LGS**

Plaintiff,

v.

AXEL GLADE LLC,

Defendant.

-----x  
**DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

Defendant, AXEL GLADE LLC (“Defendant”), by and through undersigned counsel and pursuant to the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure, hereby files its Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Plaintiff’s Complaint, as follows:

1. Admitted that this lawsuit was filed. Otherwise denied.
2. Unknown therefore denied.
3. Unknown therefore denied.
4. Denied.
5. Denied.
6. Admitted for jurisdiction purposes only. Otherwise denied.
7. Admitted for jurisdiction purposes only. Otherwise denied.
8. Admitted for venue purposes only. Otherwise denied.
9. Admitted for jurisdiction purposes only. Otherwise denied.
10. Admitted for jurisdiction purposes only. Otherwise denied.
11. Unknown, therefore denied.
12. Unknown, therefore denied.
13. Admitted.

14. This is a legal conclusion, therefore denied.
15. Admitted that the Internet is used by many people for shopping and information. Otherwise denied.
16. Unknown, therefore denied.
17. Unknown, therefore denied.
18. Unknown, therefore denied.
19. Unknown, therefore denied.
20. Unknown, therefore denied.
21. Admitted that Defendant is an ear cleaning products company that owns and operates [www.axelglade.com](http://www.axelglade.com). Otherwise denied.
22. Admitted that Defendant's website offers products and services for online sale and general delivery to the public. Otherwise denied.
23. Unknown therefore denied.
24. Denied.
25. Denied.
26. Denied.
27. Denied.
28. Denied.
29. Denied.
30. Denied.
31. Denied.
32. Denied.
33. Denied.

34. Denied, including all of its subparts.

35. Denied.

36. This is a legal conclusion, therefore denied.

37. Denied.

38. Denied.

39. Denied.

40. Denied.

41. Denied that any class should be certified or is appropriate.

42. Denied.

43. Denied.

44. Denied.

45. Denied.

46. Denied.

47. Denied.

48. Defendant re-avers its answers to Paragraphs 1-47 above as though fully set forth herein.

49. This is a legal conclusion, therefore denied.

50. This is a legal conclusion, therefore denied.

51. This is a legal conclusion, therefore denied.

52. This is a legal conclusion, therefore denied.

53. This is a legal conclusion, therefore denied.

54. Denied.

55. Denied that plaintiff is entitled to such relief.

56. Defendant re-avers its answers to Paragraphs 1-55 above as though fully set forth herein.

57. This is a legal conclusion, therefore denied.

58. This is a legal conclusion, therefore denied.

59. This is a legal conclusion, therefore denied.

60. Denied.

61. This is a legal conclusion, therefore denied.

62. Denied

63. Denied.

64. Denied.

65. Denied.

66. Denied.

67. Denied.

68. Denied.

69. Defendant re-avers its answers to Paragraphs 1-47 above as though fully set forth herein.

70. Denied.

71. Denied.

#### **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

Subject to its responses above, and without conceding that any of the following defenses must necessarily be pled or that any such defenses are not already at issue by virtue of the foregoing denials, Defendants assert the following defenses. Defendants undertake the burden of proof only as to those defenses deemed affirmative defenses by law, regardless of how such defenses are denominated herein. In addition to the affirmative and other defenses described below, Defendants reserve the right to amend this Answer and the Affirmative Defenses as additional information is obtained.

1. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by Plaintiff's lack of standing to assert such claims. Plaintiff is not a qualified individual with a disability under the ADA. Further, there is an insufficient nexus between Plaintiff's alleged disability and the proposed modifications. Finally, Plaintiff cannot demonstrate an immediate intent to return to the subject property.

2. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Plaintiff's proposed modifications are not readily achievable.

3. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the proposed modifications and/or steps would fundamentally alter the nature of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, and/or accommodations provided.

4. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the proposed modifications would constitute an undue burden on Defendants.

5. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the proposed modifications would result in a direct threat to the safety of others.

6. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the proposed modifications are structurally impracticable.

7. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the proposed modifications are technically infeasible.

8. Plaintiff has failed to provide Defendants with notice and/or an opportunity to cure any alleged violations, all such violations being specifically denied, and therefore Plaintiff and her counsel are not entitled to any relief, nor are they entitled to recover attorneys' fees or costs.

9. Some of the modifications demanded by Plaintiff are subject to and limited by applicable local, state, or other laws, and, as such, may not be able to be performed; assuming *arguendo* that such modifications would otherwise be required.

10. Any and all violations of the ADA have been remediated, or will be remediated prior to the entry of judgment, and therefore Plaintiff's claims are moot.

11. Plaintiff's claims are barred to the extent that said violations were part of a prior ADA lawsuit, and were remediated as part of a settlement in said lawsuit and said remediation plan led to the dismissal of the prior case.

12. Plaintiff has not alleged, nor can he show, that future harm, if any, is imminent and irreparable. As result, injunctive relief is not appropriate.

**RESERVATION OF RIGHTS**

Defendants reserve the right to amend these affirmative defenses as and if appropriate as discovery in this case proceeds.

DATED this **20th** day of **April**, 2021.

Respectfully Submitted,

LAW OFFICES OF NOLAN KLEIN, P.A.  
*Attorneys for Defendant, Axel Glade, LLC*  
633 S. Andrews Ave., Ste. 500  
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301  
PH: (954) 745-0588

By: /s/ Nolan Klein  
NOLAN KLEIN, ESQ.  
Florida Bar No. 647977  
[klein@nklegal.com](mailto:klein@nklegal.com)  
[amy@nklegal.com](mailto:amy@nklegal.com)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

**I HEREBY CERTIFY** that a copy of the foregoing instrument was e-filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF, this **20th** day of **April**, 2021.

By: /s/ Nolan Klein  
NOLAN KLEIN, ESQ.  
Florida Bar No. 647977

**SERVICE LIST:**

**MARK ROZENBERG, ESQ.**  
STEIN SAKS, PLLC  
285 Passaic Street  
Hackensack, NJ 07601  
Ph: (201) 282-6500  
Fax: (201) 282-6501  
Email: [mrozenberg@steinsakslegal.com](mailto:mrozenberg@steinsakslegal.com)  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*